

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Courant-sharp eigenvalues of a two-dimensional torus

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1548367> since 2016-06-15T10:58:33Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.crma.2015.03.014

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Courant-sharp eigenvalues of a two-dimensional torus

Corentin Léna*

January 10, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we determine, in the case of the Laplacian on the flat two-dimensional torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, all the eigenvalues having an eigenfunction which satisfies Courant's theorem with equality (Courant-sharp situation). Following the strategy of Å. Pleijel (1956), the proof is a combination of a lower bound (à la Weyl) of the counting function, with an explicit remainder term, and of a Faber–Krahn inequality for domains on the torus (deduced as in Bérard-Meyer from an isoperimetric inequality), with an explicit upper bound on the area.

Keywords. Nodal domains, Courant theorem, Pleijel theorem, torus.

MSC classification. 35P05, 35P20, 58J50.

1 Introduction

Let us first recall two classical results on the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet-Laplacian on a bounded domain Ω in the plane. According to a well-known result by R. Courant (in [8]), an eigenfunction associated with the k -th eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\Omega)$ of this operator has at most k nodal domains. In [18], Å. Pleijel sharpened this result by showing that, for a given domain, an eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_k(\Omega)$ has less than k nodal domains, except for a finite number of indices k . This was generalized in [5] by P. Bérard and D. Meyer to the case of a compact Riemannian manifold, with or without boundary, in any dimension. It has been shown by I. Polterovich in [19], using estimates from [23], that the analogous result also holds for the Neumann-Laplacian on a planar domain with a piecewise-analytic boundary.

These results leave open the question of determining, for a given domain or manifold, the cases of equality. It is stated in [18] that when Ω is a square, equality can only occur for eigenfunctions having one, two or four nodal domains, associated with the first, the second (which has multiplicity two), and the fourth eigenvalue respectively. The proof in [18] is however incomplete and was corrected by P. Bérard and B. Helffer in [3]. The case of the Neumann-Laplacian on a square is treated in [13]. In this note, we will show that for the flat torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, equality holds only for eigenfunctions having one or two nodal domains, respectively associated with the first and the second eigenvalue (this last eigenvalue has multiplicity four). This complements the result [11, Theorem 7.1], which determines the cases of equality for a flat torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{R}/b\mathbb{Z})$ with b^2 irrational.

Let us give a more precise statement of the above result, and fix some notation that will be used in the following. In the rest of this paper, \mathbb{T}^2 stands for the two-dimensional torus

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$$

equipped with the standard flat metric, and $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ stands for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{T}^2 . If Ω is an open set in \mathbb{T}^2 with a piecewise- C^1 boundary, we write $(\lambda_k(\Omega))_{k \geq 1}$ for the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ on Ω with a Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$, arranged in non-decreasing order and counted with multiplicity. In particular, $\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is the k -th eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ (in that case the boundary is empty).

*Laboratoire de Mathématique d'Orsay, Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 425, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France
corentin.lena@math.u-psud.fr

If u is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$, we call *nodal domains of u* the connected components of $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})$, and we denote by $\mu(u)$ the cardinal of the set of nodal domains. With any eigenvalue λ of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$, we associate the integer

$$\nu(\lambda) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2) = \lambda\}.$$

Following [12], we say that an eigenvalue λ of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ is a *Courant-sharp eigenvalue of \mathbb{T}^2* if there exists an associated eigenfunction u with $\mu(u) = \nu(\lambda)$. We will prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *The only Courant-sharp eigenvalues of \mathbb{T}^2 are $\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.*

The proof follows the approach used by Å. Pleijel in [18] and in the case of a compact manifold by Bérard-Meyer in [5] (see also [1, 2]). In Section 2, we establish an isoperimetric inequality and we use it to prove a Faber-Krahn type inequality for domains in \mathbb{T}^2 . The inequality that we use is a special case of the more general result in [14, 7] but, for the sake of completeness, we give an alternative proof that avoids the use of variational arguments. In Section 3, we combine this information with Weyl's law to show that large eigenvalues cannot be Courant-sharp. In the very simple setting under consideration, we can get explicit version of all these estimates, and we are therefore able to prove Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements I want first and foremost to thank Bernard Helffer for introducing me to this problem and for numerous discussions and advices. I also thank Pierre Bérard for explaining to me the approach used in [5], pointing out the reference [14], and suggesting several improvements. I thank Virginie Bonnaillie-Noël for her corrections and Thibault Paolantini for his help with the topological questions in Section 2. This work was partially supported by the ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche), project OPTIFORM n° ANR-12-BS01-0007-02.

2 Two inequalities

2.1 Isoperimetric inequality

The first tool in the proof of Theorem 1 is the isoperimetric inequality. We consider open sets Ω in \mathbb{T}^2 that satisfy the following properties:

- (i) $\partial\Omega$ is piecewise- C^1 ;
- (ii) Ω is *without crack*, that is to say the interior of $\overline{\Omega}$ is equal to Ω .

According to classical regularity results for eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on surfaces, nodal domains satisfy both properties, and furthermore they are connected by definition. However, since we will apply the isoperimetric inequality to the level sets of eigenfunctions, we need to establish the result without the connectedness assumption. The regularity of the level sets is discussed at the end of Subsection 2.2.

If Ω is an open set in \mathbb{T}^2 satisfying (i) and (ii), we write $A(\Omega)$ for its area and $\ell(\partial\Omega)$ for the length of $\partial\Omega$. The following statement is the first case of [14, 7]. For the sake of completeness, we give a proof which differs from the one in [14]. Let us however note that the result in [14] is more general: all values of $A(\Omega)$ are treated, and no assumption on the regularity of $\partial\Omega$ is made.

Proposition 2. *If $A(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$, we have the inequality*

$$\ell(\partial\Omega)^2 \geq 4\pi A(\Omega). \tag{1}$$

Let us first remark that without loss of generality, we can assume that Ω is connected. Indeed, let us assume that Inequality (1) has been proved for connected sets, and let Ω be a general open set satisfying (i) and (ii), with $A(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$. We can write

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^K \Omega_j,$$

where K is some integer and the Ω_j 's are the connected components of Ω . The Ω_j 's satisfy (i) and (ii), and since

$$A(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^K A(\Omega_j),$$

$A(\Omega_j) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$ for each j . By hypothesis, we have

$$\ell(\partial\Omega_j)^2 \geq 4\pi A(\Omega_j)$$

for each j . From assumption (ii), we have

$$\ell(\partial\Omega)^2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^K \ell(\partial\Omega_j) \right)^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^K \ell(\partial\Omega_j)^2.$$

Summing all the inequalities, we obtain (1).

In the rest of the proof, we assume that Ω is connected. Let us then remark that $\partial\Omega$ is the disjoint union of a finite number of simple curves embedded in \mathbb{T}^2 :

$$\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^N C_i. \quad (2)$$

To establish Inequality (1), we distinguish between the following cases, where the term *trivial* stands for *zero-homologous*:

1. every curve C_i is trivial;
2. at least one curve C_{i_0} is non-trivial.

2.1.1 All the curves are trivial

We distinguish between two sub-cases:

1. Ω is homeomorphic to a disk;
2. Ω is not homeomorphic to a disk.

In sub-case 1, $N = 1$. In sub-case 2, $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \Omega$ is the disjoint union of a finite number of regions that are homeomorphic to a disk.

Sub-case 1: Ω is homeomorphic to a disk

We consider the covering of \mathbb{T}^2 by the plane \mathbb{R}^2 defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi : \quad \mathbb{R}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \\ (x, y) &\mapsto (x \bmod 1, y \bmod 1) \end{aligned}$$

(this is the universal covering). The pullback $\Pi^{-1}(\Omega)$ is the union of an infinite number of connected components, each one being homeomorphic to a disk and having the same area and perimeter as Ω . Applying the classical isoperimetric inequality in the plane to one of these components, we obtain (1).

Remark 3. *Let us note that the inequality holds in this case whether or not a disk of area $A(\Omega)$ can be contained in the torus \mathbb{T}^2 .*

Sub-case 2: Ω is not homeomorphic to a disk

We write

$$\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i,$$

where N is the same integer as in Equation (2) and D_i is homeomorphic to a disk, with $\partial D_i = C_i$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N A(D_i) = 1 - A(\Omega).$$

and

$$\ell(\partial\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^N \ell(C_i).$$

We apply the former case of the isoperimetric inequality to each D_i , and obtain

$$\ell(C_i)^2 \geq 4\pi A(D_i).$$

Summing all the inequalities, we get

$$\ell(\partial\Omega)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \ell(C_i) \right)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \ell(C_i)^2 \geq 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^N A(D_i) = 4\pi(1 - A(\Omega)).$$

If $1 - A(\Omega) \geq A(\Omega)$, that is to say if $A(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain (1).

2.1.2 At least one curve is non-trivial

Let us first point out that in this case, there are actually two non-trivial curves. We give one possible proof of this topological fact, using Stokes's formula.

Let us first introduce some notation. We use x and y to denote the coordinate functions $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $(x, y) \mapsto y$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . We will use the two 1-forms on \mathbb{T}^2 obtained as the push-forwards of dx and dy by the mapping Π , which we will also write dx and dy . If C is a piecewise- C^1 , closed, simple, and oriented curve on \mathbb{T}^2 , both numbers

$$p = \int_C dx \text{ and } q = \int_C dy$$

are integers, characteristic of the homology class of C . In particular, C is zero-homologous if, and only if, $p = q = 0$.

According to Stokes's formula,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{C_i} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} dx = \int_{\Omega} d(dx) = 0,$$

and similarly for dy ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{C_i} dy = \int_{\partial\Omega} dy = \int_{\Omega} d(dy) = 0.$$

If one of the curves in the boundary is non-trivial, at least one term in one of the sums is non-zero, and thus some other term in the same sum must be non-zero, meaning that some other curve must be non-trivial.

We remark finally that a non-trivial curve has length at least 1. Since $\partial\Omega$ contains at least two non-trivial curves, $\ell(\partial\Omega) \geq 2$. If $A(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$, this implies

$$\ell(\partial\Omega)^2 \geq 4\pi A(\Omega).$$

Since $\frac{1}{\pi} < \frac{1}{2}$, all the cases taken together yield Proposition 2.

2.2 Faber-Krahn inequality

As shown for instance by P. Bérard and D. Meyer in [5], an isoperimetric inequality can be used to obtain a Faber-Krahn inequality. The form of this inequality is here particularly simple.

Proposition 4. *If Ω is an open and connected set in \mathbb{T}^2 , without crack and with a piecewise C^1 -boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $A(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$, then*

$$\lambda_1(\Omega)A(\Omega) \geq \pi j_{0,1}^2. \quad (3)$$

The constant $j_{0,1}$ in Equation (3) is the first positive zero of the Bessel function of the first type J_0 . Let us note that $\pi j_{0,1}^2$ is the value of the product $\lambda_1(D)|D|$, where D is a disk in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 (any disk, since the expression is invariant by scaling).

As in the planar case, the proof uses Schwarz symmetrization of the level sets $\Omega_t = \{x : u(x) > t\}$, with u a positive eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ and $t > 0$. We go through the same steps as in [5, I.9], or [7, III.3].

To apply the symmetrization method in this case, we have to check whether a (geodesic) disk of area less than $A(\Omega_t)$ can be contained on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 , that is to say whether its radius is no larger than $\frac{1}{2}$. Since $A(\Omega_t) \leq A(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$ and since a disk of area $\frac{1}{\pi}$ has radius $\frac{1}{\pi} < \frac{1}{2}$, this is indeed the case.

It is possible that for some values of $t > 0$, the set Ω_t does not satisfy Properties (i) and (ii), but we can avoid any potential problem thanks to the approximation argument presented in [5, I.9]. In this paper, the authors use the genericity results of [24] to show that an arbitrarily small zero-order perturbation of $-\Delta$ gives an operator whose first eigenfunctions are Morse functions in Ω with a finite number of critical points.

3 Proof of the main theorem

3.1 Weyl's law with explicit bounds

Let us now go back to the study of the sequence $(\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2))_{k \geq 1}$. For $\lambda \geq 0$, we write

$$N(\lambda) = \#\{k : \lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2) \leq \lambda\}$$

(this is the *counting function*). According to Weyl's law, which holds for any compact Riemannian manifold, we have

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \omega_2 A(\mathbb{T}^2) \lambda \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where ω_2 is the area of a disk of radius 1. We obtain

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4)$$

To reach our goal, we will need to replace Formula (4) with an explicit lower bound for $N(\lambda)$.

Proposition 5. *We have, for all $\lambda \geq 0$,*

$$\frac{\lambda}{4\pi} - \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} - 3 \leq N(\lambda). \quad (5)$$

Proof. The eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ are of the form

$$\lambda_{m,n} = 4\pi^2(m^2 + n^2),$$

with $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

With each pair of integers (m, n) we associate a finite dimensional space $E_{m,n}$ of eigenfunctions such that

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) = \overline{\bigoplus_{(m,n)} E_{m,n}}.$$

The vector space $E_{m,n}$ is generated by products of trigonometric functions, see for instance the proof of [11, Theorem 2.2] for details. The dimension of $E_{m,n}$ equals

- 1 if $(m, n) = (0, 0)$;
- 2 if either m or n , but not both, is 0;
- 4 if $m > 0$ and $n > 0$.

For $r \geq 0$, let us write $D(0, r)$ for the closed disk in \mathbb{R}^2 of center 0 and radius r . We denote by \mathcal{R}_λ the set

$$D\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\right) \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0\}.$$

and we write

$$n(\lambda) = \#(\mathbb{N}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}_\lambda).$$

Taking the dimension of the spaces $E_{m,n}$ into account, we have the following exact formula for the counting function:

$$N(\lambda) = 4n(\lambda) - 4 \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \right\rfloor - 3. \quad (6)$$

Let us now obtain a lower bound for $n(\lambda)$. To each point (m, n) in \mathcal{R}_λ , we associate the square $[m, m+1] \times [n, n+1]$, of area 1. We define

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \bigcup_{(m,n) \in (\mathbb{N}^2 \cap \mathcal{R}_\lambda)} [m, m+1] \times [n, n+1].$$

The areas of \mathcal{S}_λ and \mathcal{R}_λ are $n(\lambda)$ and $\frac{\lambda}{16\pi}$ respectively. Since $\mathcal{R}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{S}_\lambda$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{16\pi} \leq n(\lambda). \quad (7)$$

Using Inequality (7) and Equation (6), we obtain Inequality (5). \square

3.2 Courant-sharp eigenvalues on the torus

We now turn to the proof Theorem 1. We will use the following lemmas.

Lemma 6. *If λ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ that has an associated eigenfunction u with k nodal domains, and if $k \geq 4$,*

$$\pi j_{0,1}^2 k \leq \lambda.$$

Proof. Since $A(\mathbb{T}^2) = 1$, one of the nodal domains of u has an area no larger than $\frac{1}{k}$. Let us denote this nodal domain by D . Since $k \geq 4$, $A(D) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$. According to Proposition 4,

$$\lambda = \lambda_1(D) \geq \frac{\pi j_{0,1}^2}{A(D)} \geq \pi j_{0,1}^2 k.$$

\square

Corollary 7. *If λ is a Courant-sharp eigenvalue of \mathbb{T}^2 with $\nu(\lambda) \geq 4$,*

$$\pi j_{0,1}^2 \nu(\lambda) \leq \lambda. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 8. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2) \leq \left(4 + 2\sqrt{4 + \pi(k+3)}\right)^2.$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from the following remark: if λ is a non-negative number such that $N(\lambda) \geq k$, then $\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2) \leq \lambda$. The lower bound for $N(\lambda)$ given in Inequality (5) then implies the desired upper bound. \square

$\frac{\lambda}{4\pi^2}$	indices	multiplicity	sum of multiplicities
0	(0, 0)	1	1
1	(1, 0), (0, 1)	4	5
2	(1, 1)	4	9
4	(2, 0), (0, 2)	4	13
5	(2, 1), (1, 2)	8	21
8	(2, 2)	4	25
9	(3, 0), (0, 3)	4	29
10	(3, 1), (1, 3)	8	37
13	(3, 2), (2, 3)	8	45
16	(4, 0), (0, 4)	4	49
17	(4, 1), (1, 4)	8	57

Table 1: The first 57 eigenvalues

k	6	10	14	22	26	30	38	46
$\frac{\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)}{4k\pi^2}$	0.3333	0.4000	0.3571	0.3636	0.3462	0.3333	0.3421	0.3478

Table 2: Table of ratios

A direct computation shows that if

$$k > \frac{\left(4j_{0,1} + 2\sqrt{4j_{0,1}^2 + 3\pi(j_{0,1}^2 - 4)}\right)^2}{\pi(j_{0,1}^2 - 4)^2} \simeq 49.5973,$$

we have

$$\left(4 + 2\sqrt{4 + \pi(k + 3)}\right)^2 < \pi j_{0,1}^2 k.$$

Lemma 8 and Corollary 7 then show that if λ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ with $\nu(\lambda) \geq 50$, λ is not Courant-sharp. Table 1 gives the first fifty-seven eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$. In particular, we find

$$\frac{\lambda_{49}(\mathbb{T}^2)}{4\pi^2} = 16$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda_{50}(\mathbb{T}^2)}{4\pi^2} = 17.$$

Therefore, for all $k \geq 50$, $\nu(\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)) \geq 50$, and thus $\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is not Courant-sharp.

According to Table 1, it remains to test Inequality (8) for $\lambda = \lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with $k \in \{6, 10, 14, 22, 26, 30, 38, 46\}$ in order to conclude the proof of Theorem 1. We can rewrite (8) in the more convenient form

$$\frac{j_{0,1}^2}{4\pi} \leq \frac{\lambda}{4\nu(\lambda)\pi^2}.$$

Table 2 shows the ratio

$$\frac{\lambda_k(\mathbb{T}^2)}{4k\pi^2}$$

as a function of k . Since

$$\frac{j_{0,1}^2}{4\pi} \simeq 0.4602,$$

the inequality is not satisfied for any of the k in consideration. This implies that only the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2) = 0$ and $\lambda_2(\mathbb{T}^2) = \lambda_3(\mathbb{T}^2) = \lambda_4(\mathbb{T}^2) = \lambda_5(\mathbb{T}^2) = 4\pi^2$ are Courant-sharp.

References

- [1] P. Bérard. Inégalités isopérimétriques et applications. Domaines nodaux des fonctions propres. SEDP 1981-1982, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [2] P. Bérard. Volume des ensembles nodaux des fonctions propres du Laplacien. SEDP 1984-1985, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [3] P. Bérard and B. Helffer. Dirichlet eigenfunctions of the square membrane: Courant's property, and A. Stern's and Å. Pleijel's analyses. Preprint arXiv:1402.6054 (March 2014).
- [4] P. Bérard and B. Helffer. A. Stern's analysis of the nodal sets of some families of spherical harmonics revisited. Preprint arXiv:1407.5564 (July 2014).
- [5] P. Bérard, D. Meyer. Inégalités isopérimétriques et applications. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 15, 513–541 (1982).
- [6] J. Bourgain, Z. Rudnick. On the Geometry of the Nodal Lines of Eigenfunctions of the Two-Dimensional Torus. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 12, 1027–1053 (2011).
- [7] I. Chavel. Isoperimetric Inequalities: Differential Geometric and Analytic Perspectives. Cambridge (2001).
- [8] R. Courant. Ein allgemeiner Satz zur Theorie der Eigenfunktionen selbstadjungierter Differentialausdrücke, Nachr. Ges. Göttingen (1923), 81–84.
- [9] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1. New York (1953).
- [10] B. Helffer and T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof. Converse spectral problems for nodal domains. Mosc. Math. J. 7, 67–84 (2007).
- [11] B. Helffer and T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof. Minimal partitions for anisotropic tori. J. Spectr. Theory 4, 221–233 (2014).
- [12] B. Helffer, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and S. Terracini. Nodal domains and spectral minimal partitions. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 26, 101–138 (2009).
- [13] B. Helffer, M. Persson Sundqvist. Nodal domains in the square—the Neumann case. Preprint arXiv:1410.6702 (October 2014).
- [14] H. Howards, M. Hutchings, and F. Morgan. The isoperimetric problem on surfaces. Amer. Math. Monthly 106, 430–439 (1999).
- [15] C. Léna. Spectral minimal partitions for a family of tori. Preprint hal-00981843 (May 2014).
- [16] J. Leydold. Knotenlinien und Knotengebiete von Eigenfunktionen. Diplom Arbeit, Universität Wien (1989), unpublished. Available at <http://othes.univie.ac.at/34443/>
- [17] J. Leydold. On the number of nodal domains of spherical harmonics. Topology 35, 301–321, (1996).
- [18] Å. Pleijel. Remarks on Courant's nodal theorem. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 9, 543–550 (1956).
- [19] F. Pockels. Über die partielle Differentialgleichung $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ und deren Auftreten in mathematischen Physik. Historical Math. Monographs. Cornell University (1891). Available at <https://archive.org/details/berdiepartiell02pockuoft>.
- [20] I. Polterovich. Pleijel's nodal theorem for free membranes. Proc. of the AMS 137, 1021–1024 (2009).
- [21] A. Stern. Bemerkungen über asymptotisches Verhalten von Eigenwerten und Eigenfunctionen. Diss. Göttingen 1925.
- [22] A. Stern. Bemerkungen über asymptotisches Verhalten von Eigenwerten und Eigenfunctionen. Diss. Göttingen 1925. Extracts and annotations available at <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~pberard/R/stern-1925-thesis-partial-reprod.pdf>.
- [23] J. Toth and S. Zelditch. Counting nodal lines that touch the boundary of an analytic domain. J. Differential Geom. 81, 649–686 (2009).
- [24] K. Uhlenbeck. Generic properties of eigenfunctions. Amer. J. Math. 98, 1059–1078 (1976).
- [25] H. Weyl. Über die asymptotische Verteilung der Eigenwerte, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl., 110–117 (1911).